**Below are two OPTIONS for papers. You must select one of the two topics and adhere to the stated guidelines. PLEASE provide a copy of your cited articles along with your final paper. Papers should be emailed to me at:** **Fominaya@hawk.iit.edu** **by 11:59pm on 28 October 2013. The subject of the email should read: [Last Name]\_AbnormalPaper. Include a copy of your cited articles as an attachment to your email. .doc or .docx are preferred formats.**

**Paper 1**

Select a film or novel that includes/portrays a character with a psychological disorder.

1. Demonstrate an understanding of the disorder in question. (4 points)
2. Cite specific behaviors the character presented. Discuss the degree to which these behaviors are consistent with the diagnosis in question. (3 points)
3. Citations supporting or refuting specific DSM criteria or are otherwise related to the diagnosis of the disorder in question. (3 points)
4. Overall writing clarity, punctuation, spelling, and proper formatting are satisfactory with few or no significant mistakes. (2 points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Item | Score |
| 1 | Demonstrated an understanding of the disorder in question. (4 points) |  |
| 2 | Cite specific behaviors the character presented. Discuss the degree to which these behaviors are consistent with the diagnosis in question. (3 points) |  |
| 3 | Citations supporting or refuting specific DSM criteria or are otherwise related to the diagnosis of the disorder in question. At least 3 citations! (3 points)**MUST BE PEER-REVIEWED CITATIONS!!!!!** |  |
| 4 | Overall writing clarity, punctuation, spelling, and proper formatting are satisfactory with few or no significant mistakes. (2 points) |  |
|  |  |  |
| Overall |  |  |

**Paper 2**

Select a specific criterion of a particular disorder in the DSM. Cite specific articles that discuss that criterion. Argue whether the criterion should or should not be changed, modified, or removed (or added, if that is the central argument).

1. Demonstrate an understanding of the disorder in question. (4 points)
2. Discuss whether the criterion should be changed and how. Discussion should be logically connected to the cited articles. (3 points)
3. Accurately summarize the articles and relate them to the disorder in question. (3 points)
4. Overall writing clarity, punctuation, spelling, and proper formatting are satisfactory with few or no significant mistakes. (2 points)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Item | Score |
| 1 | Demonstrate an understanding of the disorder in question. (4 points) |  |
| 2 | Discuss whether the criterion should be changed and how. Discussion should be logically connected to the cited articles. At least 3 citations! (3 points)**CITATIONS MUST BE PEER-REVIEWED!!!**  |  |
| 3 | Accurately summarize the articles and relate them to the disorder in question. (3 points) |  |
| 4 | Overall writing clarity, punctuation, spelling, and proper formatting are satisfactory with few or no significant mistakes. (2 points) |  |
|  |  |  |
| Overall |  |  |